Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/550,917	LAPLANTE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert E. Fuller	3672	
All Participants:	articipants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Robert E. Fuller.	(3)		
(2) <u>Richard Tushin</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>5 June 2006</u>	Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None.			
Claims discussed: Claims 20-32.			
Prior art documents discussed: None.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	at/Applicant's Bossosantsti	ve Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No. 10/550,917

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The application was in condition for allowance pending the resolution of some informalities with respect to the specification, abstract, and claims. These informalities included an erroneous reference number in the specification, the use of "means" and "said" in the abstract, inconsistent or improper preambles in claims 20-32, lack of antecedent basis in claims 24-26, improper dependency in claim 32, and a spelling error in claim 32. An examiner's amendment was prepared containing a detailed description of the changes agreed upon by the applicant.